Interview Summary	Application No. 08/937,883	Applicant(s)	Gruper
	Examiner John Follans	bee	Group Art Unit 2154
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) John Follansbee	(3)		
(2) Sanford Colb			
Date of Interview Oct 17, 2001	_		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) 🔀 applicant's re	presentative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, br	ief descript	on:
Claim(s) discussed: 1-18 Identification of prior art discussed: Secure4U			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:			
Attorney proposed an addition of proposed claimed language refers to claim language not already claimed. The prior art of record.			
Applicant requested that the examiner kindly substantial examiner has proposed doing this by obtaining a full copyridone by the examiner in response to Applicant's response.	ight registration as re		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the sul	stance of t	he interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP			

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

on043

certain files, wherein the enforcement device treats attempts of the program to access files to which the user permitted access during said learning period more leniently than attempts of the program to access files to which the user did not permit access during said learning period.

xx1. Apparatus for ensuring the integrity of computer applications to be run in association with a computer having data storage arranged sectorwise in a storage device, comprising:

apparatus for assigning a general enforcement file to /,

each new program;

apparatus for learning about the program by monitoring the program's attempts to make file accesses during a learning period; and

an enforcement device operative, after said learning period is over, to treat attempts of the program to access files accessed during said learning period more leniently than attempts of the program to access files not accessed during said learning period.

- xx2. Apparatus according to claim xx1 wherein said enforcement device is operative to always allow access to files accessed during said learning period.
- xx3. Apparatus according to claim xx1 wherein said enforcement device is operative to prompt a user to give specific permission, upon occurence of an attempt of the program to access files not accessed during said learning period.
- xx4. Apparatus for ensuring the integrity of computer applications to be run in association with a computer having data storage arranged sectorwise in a storage device, comprising:

apparatus for assigning a general enforcement file to

each new program;

apparatus for learning about the program by monitoring instances of user permission given to the program's attempts to be seen file accessed during a learning period, and

make file accesses during a learning period; and

an enforcement device operative, after said learning period is over, to treat attempts of the program to access files to which the user permitted access during said learning period more leniently than attempts of the program to access files to which the user did not permit access during said learning period.

xx5. Apparatus according to claim xx1 wherein said enforcement device is based at least partly on instances of specific permission being given by the user to the program to access